

**Senate Standing Committee on Environment and Communications**  
**Legislation Committee**  
Answers to questions on notice  
**Environment and Energy portfolio**

**Question No:** 338  
**Hearing:** Supplementary Budget Estimates  
**Outcome:** Agency  
**Program:** Director of National Parks (DNP)  
**Topic:** Commonwealth Marine Reserves Review – Gross Value of Product  
**Hansard Page:** n/a  
**Question Date:** 01 November 2016  
**Question Type:** Written

**Senator Urquhart, Anne asked:**

Do you know what the difference is between the impact on commercial fishing GVP ('gross value of product') from the Review's recommendations as to the GVP impact of the 2013 management plans? What is that figure?

**Answer:**

The difference in the displacement for commercial fishing GVP from the Review's recommendations and the displacement for commercial fishing GVP from the proclaimed reserves GVP is \$3.7 million.<sup>1</sup> The reserves proclaimed in 2012 had an estimated displacement of \$ 8,201,400 GVP and the Bioregional Advisory Panels recommended zoning has an estimated displacement of \$ 4,511,900 GVP.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Larcombe, J & Marton, N 2016, Potential displacement of commercial fisheries by a Commonwealth marine reserve zoning scheme: Report on Panel-recommended network. ABARES technical report to client prepared for the Department of the Environment, Canberra, February. CC BY 3.0.